

## Corn Yield Response to Nitrogen Rate - Ridgeville

Ben Eggers, Ohio State University Extension Agronomy Intern, Fulton County, Ohio  
Eric Richer, Ohio State University Extension Educator, Fulton County, Ohio

### Objective

To determine the effects of nitrogen rate on corn grain yield and profitability.

### Background

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Crop Year: 2016                        | Soil Type: Hoytville                                  |
| County: Henry                          | Tillage: No-till into Cereal Rye                      |
| Location: Napoleon, Ohio               | Soil Test (grid avg): pH 6.5                          |
| Drainage: systematic, 30' laterals     | P 52 ppm (Bray-P1)                                    |
| Previous Crop: Soybeans                | K 225 ppm   |
| Variety: Pioneer P0993                 | O.M. 4.2%   |
| Population: 34,800 seeds per acre      | CEC 13.9 meq/100g                                     |
| Plant Date: May 24, 2016               | Starter Fertilizer: 48-0-0                            |
| Harvest Date: November 10, 2016        | Pre-Sidedress Nitrogen Test: 5 ppm NO <sub>3</sub> -N |
| Herbicide: Abunbit, CinchATZ, Shredder | Nitrogen Source: 28% UAN                              |
| Fungicide: 6 oz/ac Approach at VT      | Rainfall (May – August): 13.5"                        |

### Methods

Five corn nitrogen rates were replicated four times in a randomized complete block design. Plots were 12 rows wide (30 ft), by 650 feet long. The trial was planted, sprayed and harvested with commercial farm equipment. The treatments were made with commercial nitrogen application equipment. All treatment received 48 units of nitrogen at plant (planter applied + pre-emerge). The balance of the nitrogen was applied at sidedress at growth stage V5-V6. A corn stalk nitrate test (CSNT) was taken by averaging 1 test of 12 stalks for every treatment replication (4 tests for each treatment) at black layer. Yields and moistures were measured using a calibrated yield monitor and shrunk to 15% moisture. Rainfall data was recorded by farmer at field level.

- Treatments:
1. Check (Zero/low Rate)
  2. 80 lbs Total N/acre
  3. 140 lbs N/acre
  4. 200 lbs N/acre
  5. 260 lbs N/acre

### Results

**Table 1. Corn Yield Response to Nitrogen Rate - Ridgeville**

| Nitrogen Rate<br>(lbs/ac)* | Yield<br>(bu/ac) | NUE<br>(lbN/bu) | CSNT<br>(ppm nitrate N) | Return Minus<br>N Cost** (\$/ac) |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 48                         | 122.2 c          | 0.39            | 43                      | \$408                            |
| 80                         | 148.6 b          | 0.54            | 1912                    | \$487                            |
| 140                        | 178.7 a          | 0.78            | 617                     | \$567                            |
| 200                        | 180.9 a          | 1.11            | 2469                    | \$549                            |
| 260                        | 180.2 a          | 1.44            | 2588                    | \$522                            |

LSD (P<.05, CV 3.52) 9.69

\* 48 lbs/ac rate was unreplicated, planter applied only; rate not used in discussion.

\*\*Based on \$3.50/bu corn and \$.42/lb N (Source: OSUE 2016 Corn Budget)



THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE OF FOOD, AGRICULTURAL,  
AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

[agcrops.osu.edu](http://agcrops.osu.edu)

CFAES provides research and related educational programs to clientele on a nondiscriminatory basis. For more information: [go.osu.edu/cfaesdiversity](http://go.osu.edu/cfaesdiversity).

| Table 2. Nitrate Concentration Categories |         |   |
|---|---------|---|
| Nitrate-Nitrogen ppm                      | Rating  | Interpretations <sup>+</sup>  |
| Less than 250                             | Low     | Nitrogen was likely yield limiting during the growing season, especially if the test result is less than 250 ppm.   |
| 250-2,000                                 | Optimal | Grain yield was not limited by the amount of nitrogen available to the crop. <i>Note</i> : the high end of this category is appropriate when nitrogen prices are low and corn prices high. The low end of this category is appropriate when nitrogen prices are high and corn prices low. |
| Greater than 2,000                        | Excess  | Excessive nitrogen available to the crop, or some other production factor limited crop growth and yield.  |

<sup>+</sup> *Corn Stalk Nitrate Tests-Research and Recommendation Update* , Purdue University, 15 September 2014.

## Discussion

This trial showed that there was a no significant difference in yield among the 140-260 lb/acre rates in 2016. However, there was a statistically significant difference between the highest three rates and the 80 lb/acre rate. A CSNT indicates that optimal nitrate-N concentrations were achieved at the 80-140 lb/ac rates and that excess nitrogen was available to the crop at the 200-260 lb/ac rates. A CSNT for the lowest rate of 48 lb/acre indicates the rate was most likely yield limiting. Limited rainfall in the early-mid growing season could have limited nitrogen uptake and thus yield in this trial.

A standard economics calculation shows that the maximum economic return rate for this site is 140 lbs/ac of total nitrogen, netting \$567/acre after nitrogen cost. At the economic optimum rate, the commercial nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) proved to be .78 lb of nitrogen per bushel of corn.

Economic optimum nitrogen rates vary greatly by nitrogen cost, corn price, soil type, rainfall timing and amounts, application practices and other factors. Conducting nitrogen rate trials on a specific farm is the best way to determine the economic optimum rate for that farm.

## Acknowledgement

The author wishes to express appreciation to on-farm collaborator Matt Eggers for conducting this trial. Thanks to agronomy intern Ben Eggers for assistance with data collection. Thanks to the Soil Fertility Lab at OARDC for processing CSNT tests and to the Ohio Corn Checkoff Board for supporting this research.

For more information on this trial, contact:  
 Eric Richer  
 OSU Extension –Fulton County  
 8770 State Route 108  
 Wauseon, Ohio 43567  
[Richer.5@osu.edu](mailto:Richer.5@osu.edu)

