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Objective
To determine effects of wheat and soybean grain yield and profitability.

Background
Crop Year: 2016
County: Wood
Location: Custar, OH
Drainage: Systematic, 35’ laterals
Previous Crop: Soybeans
Varieties: Wheat: Rupp 911
Soybean: Pioneer 31T11
Red clover: Cisco Gallant
Oats: Forage Oats
Planting Date: Sept. 28, 2015 (Wheat)
May 23, 2016 (Soybean)
Harvest Date: July 5, 2016 (Wheat)
Oct. 25, 2016 (Soybeans)
Soil Type: Hoytville clay loam
Tillage: Minimal
Soil Test (grid avg): pH 7.0
P 34 ppm (Bray-P1)
K 196 ppm
CEC 16.1 meq/100g
OM 3.8%
Starter Fertilizer: 30-78-78/acre

Methods
This trial was designed with nine treatments replicated four times in a randomized complete block design (See Table 1). Plots were 10 feet wide by 75 feet long. All treatments received the same starter fertilizer, herbicide and topdress nitrogen. The trial was planted, sprayed and harvested with small test plot equipment. Yields and moistures were measured by using a calibrated weigh wagon and commercial moisture tester. Yields were standardized to 15% moisture content.
Results

Table 1. Wheat plus Second Crop Economics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Wheat Yield (bu/ac)</th>
<th>Soybean Yield (bu/ac)</th>
<th>Forage Yield (tons/ac)</th>
<th>System Gross Revenue*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Soybeans in 15” rows (160,000 seeds/ac)</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.5 a</td>
<td></td>
<td>$446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Frost seed red clover in March (10#/A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 a</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Wheat in 7.5” rows (1.8 M seeds/ac)</td>
<td>88.9 a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Wheat in 15” rows (0.9 M seeds/ac)</td>
<td>65.8 c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Wheat in 7.5” rows fb dbl crop soybeans</td>
<td>86.8 a</td>
<td>12.8 b</td>
<td></td>
<td>$462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Wheat in 7.5” rows w/ frost seed clover</td>
<td>78.9 b</td>
<td>1.4 a</td>
<td></td>
<td>$386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Wheat in 15” rows w/ MRI soybeans</td>
<td>51.6 d</td>
<td>17.4 b</td>
<td></td>
<td>$363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Wheat in 15” rows w/frost seed clover</td>
<td>70.4 c</td>
<td>1.1 a</td>
<td></td>
<td>$337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Wheat in 7.5” rows fb forage oats</td>
<td>92.6 a</td>
<td>1.8 a</td>
<td></td>
<td>$460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD (P<.05) 6.24 5.41 0.77

CV 5.5 CV 11.8 CV 26.6

* Based on $9.00/bu soybeans, $4.00/bu wheat and $50/ton forage

Discussion:
Treatments 3, 5, and 9 resulted in a significantly higher wheat yield than all other treatments. Treatment 1 resulted in the significantly highest soybean yield of the three treatments with soybeans. All of the forage treatments resulted in no statistical yield difference.

After an economic calculation using standard prices, treatments 5 and 9 resulted in the greatest economic return for 2016. Treatment 2 resulted in the lowest economic return of all treatments in 2016.

This trial is a three year trial that will be repeated in 2017 and 2018. Corn yield impact in the year following will also be evaluated from 2017-2019.
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