Evaluation of Red and White Wheat Varieties in a Modified Relay Intercropping System

Steve Prochaska, Agriculture and Natural Resources Extension Agent

Objective

To evaluate disease incidence and agronomic performance of red and white wheat varieties in a Modified Relay Intercropping (MRI) System.

Background

Cooperator:	OSU Unger Farm	Fertilizer:	26-104-120 applied fall 1997
County:	Crawford		103 lbs/A nitrogen topdressed
Soil Type:	Blount	Herbicide:	2,4-D amine (1 pt/A)
Drainage:	Non-systematic		Roundup Ultra (1 qt/A, July 31)
Tillage:	No-till	Planting Date (W):	October 10, 1997
Previous Crop:	Soybeans	Planting Rate:	120 lbs/A
Soil Test:	pH 6.9, P 31 ppm,	Interseed Date (S):	June 8, 1998
	K 122 ppm	Interseed Rate:	75 lbs/A
3.5.43.3			

Methods

Wheat was planted in a 10-inch row spacing with a 20-inch tram line with a Great Plains 1500 drill. Soybeans were planted into the 10-inch row wheat with the same drill as used for wheat on 6/8/98. Wheat had completed flowering. Drill was on a 3-point hitch of the tractor for planting of soybeans into wheat. Experiment design was completely randomized with three replications of two white varieties (Pioneer P25W33 and P2737W) and two red varieties (Hopewell and X15).

Results

Table 1. Wheat Variety and Yield.		
Variety	Yield (bu/A)	
P25W33	80.7	
Hopewell	79.6	
P2737W	72	
X15	66.4	

F = 4.09 Not significant at P = 0.05 CV = 7.8%

Summary and Notes

All wheat was heavily tillered in 1998. The white wheat, 25W33, was also slightly injured by 2,4-D application. Interseeding of soybeans damaged both white wheats due to the sprawling habit of the wheat. An estimated 10-20% loss of yield in white wheats occurred as a result of interseeding. Hopewell wheat was the least damaged by interseeding due to its non-spreading growth habit.

One of the issues associated with white wheat production is sprouting of the mature seeds in the head under damp environmental conditions. In 1998 conditions conducive to wheat sprouting (five inches of rain and 100% relative humidity for nearly five days) occurred with no accompanying sprouting of the white wheat.

Soybean yields were 42 bu/acre in the Hopewell wheat, 40 bu/acre in the X15 wheat, and 32 bu/acre in the two white wheats. This reduction in soybeans was due to poor final stand resulting from difficulty in interseeding into the sprawling white wheat.

In conclusion, the white wheats are competitive in yield and comparable in disease resistance to top red wheats. White wheat can be successfully grown in Crawford County with the same performance expectations as red wheat. However, a tendency towards sprawling growth for the white wheat varieties in this trial may limit their usefulness for interseeding soybeans.

Variety	Characteristics	Comments	
	<u>Height</u> - 38"	Very uniform red wheat that has done well in Crawford County. Nice architecture for MRI.	
Hopewell	<u>Diseases</u> - Light Stagnospora		
	<u>Height</u> - 35"	Aggressive early red wheat. Very susceptible to a number of diseases. If treated with a fungicide, may be a good wheat for MRI.	
X15	<u>Diseases</u> - Stagnospora, Rust, heavy Powdery Mildew		
Pioneer 25W33	<u>Height</u> - 36"	Aggressive bearded white wheat may have applicability in MRI systems. Sprouting seems not a significant problem as with other white wheats. May be sensitive to 2,4-D amine herbicide. Was only wheat damaged by	
	<u>Diseases</u> - Light Stagnospora	herbicide application at Feeke's Growth Stage 5 in 1998.	
	<u>Height</u> - 37"	This white wheat has performed well in Crawford County over the last two years; however, it may be discontinued in future.	
Pioneer 2737W	<u>Diseases</u> - Light Stagnospora		

Table 2. Wheat Variety Disease and Agronomic Observations.

For additional information, contact:

Steve Prochaska The Ohio State University Extension prochaska.1@osu.edu