# Nitrogen Management Systems Using Urea-Ammonium Nitrate (28%) for Corn

Ed Lentz, Extension District Specialist, Agronomy

## Objective

Producers sometimes broadcast urea-ammonium nitrate (28% N solution) with herbicides to reduce application costs (weed 'n' feed program). This practice may lead to unacceptable N losses from volatilization and denitrification. Sidedress N programs may reduce this loss potential and provide more N to the crop, but require another trip. The objective of this study was to compare grain yields between broadcast and sidedress applications of urea-ammonium nitrate.

## Background

OARDC,	Planting Date:	May 29, 2002
Northwestern Branch	Seeding Rate:	30,000 seeds/A
Wood	Row Width:	30-inch
Hoytville	Herbicides:	
Tiled	PRE:	2.4 qt/A Harness Xtra
Hoytville clay		1 pt/A Atrazine
Conventional till		26 oz./A Roundup
Soybeans		Ultramax + AMS
Pioneer 34B24	POST:	2 pt/A Basagran
160 lb/A Nitrogen	Harvest Date:	October 28, 2002
pH 6.5; P 104ppm;		
K 208ppm		
	OARDC, Northwestern Branch Wood Hoytville Tiled Hoytville clay Conventional till Soybeans Pioneer 34B24 160 lb/A Nitrogen pH 6.5; P 104ppm; K 208ppm	OARDC,Planting Date:Northwestern BranchSeeding Rate:WoodRow Width:HoytvilleHerbicides:TiledPRE:Hoytville clayPRE:Conventional tillSoybeansPioneer 34B24POST:160 lb/A NitrogenHarvest Date:pH 6.5; P 104ppm;K 208ppm

### Methods

Experimental design was a randomized complete block with three treatments replicated four times. Treatments were as follows:

- 1. Urea-ammonium nitrate (160 lb N/A) surface applied at planting (broadcast N management system).
- 2. Urea (20 lb N/A) banded from fertilizer boxes at planting, two inches below and to the side of the seed; followed by urea-ammonium nitrate (140 lb N/ A) coultered-injected between rows at growth stage V6 (sidedress N management system).
- 3. Zero nitrogen check to estimate yield from soil residual nitrogen.

Plots were 10 feet wide and 70 feet long and consisted of four rows. The center two rows were harvested for grain. A combine scale and sensor estimated grain weight and moisture, respectively. Yield was adjusted to 15% moisture. At silking, 10 ear leaves were collected and sent to Spectrum Analytical Lab for nitrogen content. Harvest population was estimated by counting plants per 17.4 feet of row from each harvest row.

#### Results

Application Method	Grain Yield (bu/A)	Harvest Moisture (%)	Harvest Population (plants/A)	Tissue Nitrogen (%)
Broadcast	111.1 a	22.4	25,750	2.6
Injected	106.5 a	21.4	26,000	2.5
Zero N check	87.8 b	20.5	26,875	2.3
LSD (0.05)	14.7	NS	NS	NS
F-test	8.46	<1	<1	2.81

#### Table 1. Average Corn Grain Yield and Other Agronomic Traits in Response to UAN Management Systems.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different.

#### **Discussion and Summary**

Grain yields were similar between a broadcast application of urea-ammonium nitrate at planting and a sidedress application at growth stage V6. Differences may have not been detected because of an abnormally hot and very dry summer, which greatly reduced yields at this site. Normally, yields would be expected between 175 to 200 bu/ A.

Tissue nitrogen was below the nitrogen suffiency range (2.9 - 3.5%) for all treatments. Possible restricted root growth and/ or lack of soil water movement may have prevented nitrogen uptake by the plants. The lack of differences between the zero check and other treatments for nitrogen uptake would be further evidence of limited nitrogen availability. Root development may have been restricted from early cool, wet conditions followed by hot, dry conditions, which would have reduced nitrogen uptake.

No conclusion from this study should be made about nitrogen application methods for ureaammonium nitrate. Other factors were more limiting than nitrogen, and masked any differences that may have occurred between application methods.

#### Acknowledgment

The author of this report is grateful for the support provided by the OARDC staff at the Northwestern Branch.

For more information, contact:

Ed Lentz The Ohio State University lentz.38@osu.edu