

# Comparison of Swine Manure with and without a Nitrogen Stabilizer as Spring Top-Dress Nitrogen Sources for Wheat Yield

Glen Arnold, Ohio State University Extension Educator, Agriculture  
Albert Maag, Putnam County Soil and Water Conservation District

## Objectives:

To compare soft red winter wheat yield response to spring applied liquid swine manure at two different rates with and without a microbial soil amendment nitrogen stabilizer.

## Background

|                |                         |                |                                      |
|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Crop Year:     | 2010                    | Variety:       | Pioneer 33W84                        |
| County:        | Putnam                  | Soil Test:     | pH 6.8, P 78 ppm, K 252 ppm, OM 1.6% |
| County/Town:   | Gilboa, OH              | Planting Date: | October 14, 2009                     |
| Soil Type:     | Lenawee Silty Clay Loam | Insecticide:   | Warrior                              |
| Drainage:      | Tile-40 ft spacing      | Fungicide:     | Headline                             |
| Previous Crop: | Soybeans                | Harvest Date:  | July 1, 2010                         |
| Tillage:       | Conservation tillage    |                |                                      |

## Methods

A randomized complete block design with four treatments and four replications was used. Plots were 90 wide and 1,160 feet long. Liquid swine manure from a finishing building was surface applied diagonally across the field in late March using a dragline. The manure application rate was 4,500 gallons per acre on the east half of the field and 6,500 gallons per acre on the west half of the field. Accomplish was applied at a rate of six quarts per acre in four 90 wide strips approximately three hours before manure was applied. Accomplish is a microbial soil amendment nitrogen inhibitor.

Manure samples indicated 49.5 pounds of available nitrogen, 26.4 pounds of  $P_2O_5$  and 37.2 pounds of  $K_2O$  per 1,000 gallons. The 4,500 gallon per acre swine manure treatments received 222.8 pounds of nitrogen, 118.8 lb/ac  $P_2O_5$  and 167.4 lb/ac  $K_2O$ . The 6,500 gallon per acre swine manure treatments received 321.8 pounds of nitrogen, 171.6 lb/ac  $P_2O_5$  and 241.8 lb/ac  $K_2O$ .

### Swine Finishing Manure Analysis

| Nutrient                                      | lbs. per 1,000 Gallons |
|---|------------------------|
| Nitrogen (available the 1 <sup>st</sup> year) | 49.5                   |
| Phosphorus as $P_2O_5$                        | 26.4                   |
| Potassium as $K_2O$                           | 37.2                   |

Weather conditions during the time of manure application were partly sunny and ambient air temperature of 58 degrees. The plot received almost double the normal rainfall for the 2010 growing season. The high nitrogen rates resulted in lodging occurring in significant portions of the 6,500 gallon per acre replications.

Yields were negatively impacted by Fusarium Head Scab and Stagonospora nodorum Blotch across all treatments.

**Table 1 Treatment Summary**

| Treatment        | Description   |
|------------------|---|
| Treatment 1 (T1) | 4,500 gal/ac liquid swine finishing manure (222# of N)              |
| Treatment 2 (T2) | 4,500 gal/ac liquid swine finishing manure + Accomplish (222# of N) |
| Treatment 3 (T3) | 6,500 gal/ac liquid swine finishing manure (322# of N)              |
| Treatment 3 (T4) | 6,500 gal/ac liquid swine finishing manure + Accomplish (322# of N) |

## Results and Discussion

**Table 2 Yield Summary**

| Treatments   | Yield (bu/ac) |
|--|---------------|
| Average of four 4,500 gal/ac manure reps (T1)                                    | 65.1 a        |
| Average of four 4,500 gal/ac manure reps (T2) + Accomplish @ six quarts per acre | 66.5 a        |
| Average of four 6,500 gal/ac manure reps (T3)                                    | 64.5 a        |
| Average of four 6,500 gal/ac manure reps (T4) + Accomplish @ six quarts per acre | 64.3 a        |

The results of this plot indicate no statistical difference for yield between any of the treatments (LSD (0.05) = 2.4). The nitrogen stabilizer did not have a yield effect. Excess nitrogen in the manure replications could have resulted in adequate nitrogen being available throughout the growing season and thus masking the effect of the stabilizer. Farmers utilizing manure as a spring fertilizer source for wheat should plan to utilize the excess phosphorus and potassium applied in the following crop rotation.

## Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to thank Dennis, Kenny and Jerry Niese for the use of their field, swine manure and manure application equipment. Thanks also to Leipsic Crop Production Services for the nitrogen inhibitor and application equipment and the use of their weigh wagon. The authors would also like to thank the Ohio Pork Producers and Ag Credit for their financial support of this research.

For more information, contact:  
 Glen Arnold  
 OSU Extension Putnam County  
 124 Putnam Parkway  
 Ottawa, OH 45875  
[arnold.2@osu.edu](mailto:arnold.2@osu.edu)

