

# Comparison of Fall Applied Swine Finishing Manure and Urea as Nitrogen Sources for Wheat Yield

Glen Arnold, Ohio State University Extension Educator, Agriculture  
Albert Maag, Putnam County Soil and Water Conservation District

## Objectives:

To compare soft red winter wheat yield response to fall applied swine finishing manure compared to commercially applied fertilizer applied both in the fall and again in the spring.

## Background

---

Crop Year:	2010	Tillage:	Conservation tillage
County:	Hancock	Variety:	Dyna Grow 9723
County/Town:	Shawton, OH	Soil Test:	pH 5.9, P 48 ppm, K 240 ppm, OM 2.9%
Soil Type:	Hoytville Clay	Planting Date:	October 13, 2009
Drainage:	Tile-40 ft spacing	Harvest Date:	July 3, 2010
Previous Crop:	Soybeans		

## Methods

A randomized complete block design with four treatments and three replications was used. The manure plots were 39 feet wide and the urea plots were 40 feet wide. All plots were 1,100 feet in length. The center 30 feet of each replication was harvested.

Liquid swine manure from a finishing building was applied to the manure treatments at rates of 3,000, 5,000 and 7,000 gallons per acre. All manure was applied on October 4th approximately two weeks before the wheat was planted. Soil conditions were very dry when the manure was applied. Manure was incorporated at the time of application using a Gentil toolbar attached to a 6,700 gallon tanker. The commercial fertilizer treatment received 300#/acre of 9-23-30 prior to planting and 185#/acre of urea (46-0-0) on March 24<sup>th</sup>. The manure treatments did not receive any spring fertilizer.

### Swine Finishing Manure Analysis

Nutrient	lbs. per 1,000 Gallons
Nitrogen (available the 1 <sup>st</sup> year)	51.1
Phosphorus as P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	25.0
Potassium as K <sub>2</sub> O	40.2

The plot received almost double the normal rainfall for the 2010 growing season. Yields were negatively impacted by Fusarium Head Scab and Stagonospora nodorum Blotch across all treatments.

**Table 1 Treatment Summary**

Treatment	Description
Treatment 1 (T1)	150 #/ac 9-23-30 fall; 185#/ac 46-0-0 on March 24th
Treatment 2 (T2)	3,000 gal/ac swine finishing manure (153 pounds of N per acre)
Treatment 3 (T3)	5,000 gal/ac swine finishing manure (255 pounds of N per acre)
Treatment 4 (T4)	7,000 gal/ac swine finishing manure (357 pounds of N per acre)

## Results and Discussion

**Table 2 Yield Summary**

	Yield (bu/ac)
Average of three commercial fertilizer reps (T1)	59.4 b
Average of three 7,000 gallons of manure per acre reps (T2)	63.9 a
Average of three 5,000 gallons of manure per acre reps (T3)	52.5 c
Average of three 3,000 gallons of manure per acre reps (T4)	45.1 d

The results of this plot indicate a statistical difference between the each of the treatments (LSD (0.05) =3.04). The high rate of fall applied swine manure (T2) appears to have supplied adequate nitrogen for this plot.

Farmers utilizing manure as a fertilizer source for wheat should plan to utilize the excess phosphorus and potassium applied in the following crop rotation. In addition, farmers should note the potential for water degradation when applying large amounts of nitrogen in the fall.

## Acknowledgments:

The authors would like to thank Duane and Anthony Stateler for the use of their field and swine manure. Thanks also to Leipsic and Ottawa Crop Production Services for the use of their weigh wagons. The authors would also like to thank the Ohio Pork Producers and Ag Credit for their financial support of this research.

For more information, contact:  
 Glen Arnold  
 OSU Extension Putnam County  
 124 Putnam Parkway  
 Ottawa, OH 45875  
[arnold.2@osu.edu](mailto:arnold.2@osu.edu)

